

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/996,367

Applicant  
Ahlgren et al.

Examiner  
D. Lawrence Tarazano

Group Art Unit  
1773

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) D. Lawrence Tarazano

(3) Blaine Childress

(2) Rupert Hurley

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jan 19, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims of record

Identification of prior art discussed:

Art of record

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant stated that there are additional considerations in using linear polymers having narrow molecular weight distribution produced by single site catalysis. The applicant states that these linear polymers have low melt strength and films can not be made using certain techniques. The examiner stated that these considerations are more to how the film is produced as opposed to the properties of the final product.

The examiner also stated that he would withdraw the rejections based on Lai et al. because the scope of the claims had been narrowed to preclude films made of polymers having long chain branching.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

  
D. LAWRENCE TARAZANO  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1773